



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,196	04/19/2001	Nobuyoshi Nakajima	2091-0237P	1187
2292	7590 03/15/2006		EXAM	IINER
	EWART KOLASCH &	CHEVALIER, ROBERT		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/837,196	NAKAJIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bob Chevalier	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	lanuarv 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A prity documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/837,196 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al in view of Uehara et al.

Yamada et al discloses a recording/reproducing apparatus that shows substantially the same limitations recited in claims 1, 4, 7, and 10, including the feature of having a plural set of image data recorded on a recording medium and thumbnail images of selected representative images being also recorded on the recording medium

as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10. (See Yamada et al's claim 49, last paragraph, section (ii), where it is disclosed thumbnail information including information pertaining to a plurality of representative images of the image files being recorded on the recording medium).

Yamada et al fails to specifically disclose the feature of attaching thumbnail images of the representative images to the surface of the storage medium disk as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10.

Uehara et al a video content browsing apparatus which includes the capability of attaching thumbnail images (image index) to a storage medium as specified in the present claims 1, 4, 7, and 10.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Yamada et al's apparatus wherein the storage recording means provided thereof would incorporate the capability of attaching thumbnail images to the storage medium in the same conventional manner as shown by Uehara et al. The motivation is to make the summary of the recorded content information on the storage medium available to the user by looking at the storage medium as suggested by Uehara et al.

With regard to claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-9, the feature of selecting the representative image data based on predetermined data or degree of similarity of the set of image data as specified thereof would be inherently present in the cited reference of Yamada et al's apparatus. Because, the Yamada et al's reference discloses that the user has the capability of selecting representative data as desired as shown in Figures 2, and 3, of

Application/Control Number: 09/837,196

Art Unit: 2616

Yamada et al. Therefore, the user can always look for similarity of the image data in selecting the representative image data as desired.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim is directed to a recording medium storing 6. nonfunctional descriptive material.

Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are neither physical "things" nor statutory processes. See, e.g. Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory) and merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer-readable medium does not make it statutory. See MPEP 2106.IV.B.1.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wurz et al discloses a method of indexing and printing user specified frames during playback or contemporaneous video recording.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bob Chevalier whose telephone number is 571-272-7374. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,196 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. Chevalier March 7, 2006.